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#### Abstract

A new protocol for the enantioselective synthesis of $3,3^{\prime}$-disubstituted-1,1'-bi-6-methoxy-2-phenol (BIPhOL) derivatives is described. Diastereomeric resolution of racemic BIPhOL boronic acid using a boronic acid moiety as a resolving group generated two diastereomers and subsequent Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction of the resulting diastereomers with aryl halides provided BIPhOL derivatives without any loss of enantioselectivity. In addition, the absolute stereochemistry of chiral BIPhOL was determined by comparison of the optical rotation with the reported value.


## INTRODUCTION

Since the first use of chiral 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (BINOL, 1) as a ligand in metal-mediated asymmetric catalysis in 1979, ${ }^{1}$ optically active $2,2^{\prime}$-dihydroxy-1,1'-biaryls (A, Figure 1) have been widely used as privileged ligands in various transition metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions. ${ }^{2,3}$ More recently, they have been utilized in asymmetric organocatalysis as either hydrogen bonding catalysts or chiral frameworks in chiral phosphoric acid catalysts. ${ }^{4,5}$ Since the outcomes of given
(a) general structure of axially chiral biaryl diols (A)
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Figure 1. (a) General structure of axially chiral biaryl diols (A) and (b) representative examples of axially chiral biaryl diols.
asymmetric transformations with axially chiral biaryl diols (A) show a strong dependence on their steric and electronic natures, considerable efforts have been made to control the steric and electronic properties of these axially chiral compounds.

One of the ways to tune the steric and electronic natures of axially chiral biaryl diols (A) is incorporation of different substituents at the periphery of the chiral axis, particularly at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions; as such, various axially chiral biaryl diol derivatives bearing different substituents have been prepared and applied to a number of asymmetric protocols. ${ }^{6}$ In addition to substituent effects, the dihedral angle along the chiral axis in axially chiral biaryl diols has been found to exert a pronounced influence on the outcome of asymmetric transformations with these compounds. For instance, chiral phosphoric acids, derived from BINOL (1) and 1,1'-bi(5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8-octahydro-2naphthol) $\left([\mathrm{H}]_{8}\right.$-BINOL, 2) derivatives bearing the same substituents at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions afforded significantly different results in asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{7}$

However, the effects of the dihedral angles on the efficiency of asymmetric reactions with axially chiral biaryl diols (A) have been far less investigated as compared to substituent effects on the efficiency of asymmetric reactions. This poor exploration of the influence of dihedral angles in axially chiral biaryl diols (A)
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on the asymmetric reactions might be due to the lack of reliable synthetic routes to access axially chiral biaryl diol derivatives other than BINOL and $[\mathrm{H}]_{8}$-BINOL derivatives; although the enantioselective synthesis of the parent 1,1'-bi-6-methoxy-2phenol (BIPhOL, 3) and 1,1'-bi-2-sesamol (BISESAMOL, 4) have been reported a couple of times, ${ }^{8-11}$ there are few general synthetic routes that can be used to access these axially chiral biaryl diol derivatives, and thus few derivatives of chiral BIPhOL 3 and BISESAMOL 4 have been prepared.

Herein, we describe the development of a new synthetic route to access optically pure $3,3^{\prime}$-disubstituted BIPhOL derivatives 3. Diastereomeric resolution of racemic BIPhOL boronic acid using a boronic acid moiety as a resolving group generated two diastereomers, which could be readily separable by a conventional separation technique. Subsequent SuzukiMiyaura coupling reaction of the resulting diastereomers with aryl halides provided the desired BIPhOL derivatives in good yields. The absolute stereochemistry of chiral BIPhOL was determined by comparison of the optical rotation of the resulting optically active BIPhOL with the reported value and the absolute stereochemistry of all other derivatives was assigned by analogy.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To date, the enantioselective synthesis of chiral BIPhOL itself has been reported several times ${ }^{8-11}$ mainly via diastereoselective resolution ${ }^{8,9}$ or kinetic resolution ${ }^{18}$ of rac-BIPhOL, where the phenolic hydroxy groups are used as resolving groups. However, it might be difficult to develop a protocol to access optically pure BIPhOL derivatives bearing substituents at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions based on previous methods since these previous methods required multistep synthetic sequences to access parent chiral BIPhOL and additional synthetic steps are needed to introduce substituents at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions. Thus, it is desired to develop a new approach to access these derivatives.

Our group developed a new approach to access axially chiral biaryl compounds via the diastereomeric resolution where a boronic acid moiety acts as a diastereomeric resolving group and a masked functional group to introduce other functional groups. ${ }^{12}$ This protocol was successfully applied to the synthesis of (R)- and (S)-3,3'-diaryl BINOL derivatives, ${ }^{12 a}$ and oxidation-divergent total syntheses of some natural products, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ respectively. In an effort to enantioselectively synthesize biaryl compounds bearing an axial chirality using this approach, we attempted to further apply our diastereomeric resolution protocol to the synthesis of chiral $3,3^{\prime}$-disubstituted BIPhOL derivatives 3 .

The retrosynthetic analysis of the enantioselective synthesis of $3,3^{\prime}$-disubstituted BIPhOL derivatives 3 is depicted in Scheme 1. Both ( $R$ )- and ( $S$ )-BIPhOL derivatives could be prepared by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction of the optically pure boronic acids, $(R)$ - and (S)-5, which could be prepared by the diastereomeric resolution of racemic biaryl boronic acid (rac-5) using a boronic acid moiety as a resolving group. rac-5 could be prepared via dimerization of resorcinol 6 with a proper protecting group, followed by the installation of boronic acid moieties at the $3,3^{\prime}$-position. We initially planned to introduce the boronic acid functional groups at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions in rac-5 via directed ortho-metalation followed by electrophilic borylation with a trialkyl borate. Since a methoxymethyl (MOM) group is known to exhibit a stronger ortho directing ability than a methoxy group, ${ }^{13}$ we decided to use a MOM group as the protecting group in compound 6 .

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis


Based on these considerations, our synthesis commenced with the preparation of rac-5 (Scheme 2). The reaction of

Scheme 2. Synthesis of rac-Boronic Acid, rac-5 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ TMEDA $=$ 1,1,2,2-tetramethylethylenediamine; NBS $=N$-bromosuccinimide; $\mathrm{DMF}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide; $\mathrm{Bn}=$ benzyl.
methoxy-protected resorcinol 7 with MOM chloride in the presence of a base provided MOM-protected compound 6 in $92 \%$ yield. Directed lithiation at the 2 -position of 6 with $n$ - BuLi and subsequent dimerization of the resulting organolithium species in the presence of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ afforded biaryl compound $\mathbf{8}^{14}$ after an acidic aqueous workup. Unfortunately, some of the MOM group in compound 8 was hydrolyzed under the acidic conditions, leading to the concomitant formation of racBIPhOL (rac-3).

Next, we investigated the possibility of introducing a boronic acid moiety via directed ortho-metalation of 8 with $n$-BuLi using the MOM group as the ortho-directing group followed by trapping the resulting organolithium species with trimethyl borate. However, the directed ortho-metalation protocol was not successful; most of starting compound 8 remained unreacted and only a trace amount of monoboronic acid was obtained. Alternatively, a boronic acid functional group could be introduced from the corresponding halide via metalhalogen exchange followed by electrophilic borylation of the
resulting organometallic species with a trialkyl borate, and thus we decided to introduce bromide groups at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions as precursors for boronic acid moieties. When compound 8 was treated with NBS, unfortunately, bromination took place without any regioselectivity, leading to a complex mixture of different regioisomers.

Since a phenolic hydroxy group is known as a better directing group than the corresponding alkoxy group in electrophilic aromatic substitution (EAS) reactions ${ }^{15}$ and some of the MOM group in compound 8 was hydrolyzed during the acidic workup, the MOM group was converted into a free hydroxy group via treatment with aqueous acidic solution affording parent BIPhOL 3 as a racemate in $83 \%$ yield from compound 6 over two steps. When compound 3 was subjected to bromination with NBS, 3,3'-dibrominated compound 9 was obtained in $70 \%$ yield with perfect regioselectivity. Subsequent protection of the phenolic hydroxy group with benzyl bromide afforded compound 10 in $95 \%$ yield. Bromide-lithium exchange of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $n$-BuLi followed by trapping of the resulting organolithium species with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}$ afforded rac-5 in $77 \%$ yield.

With rac-5 in hand, we explored the diastereomeric resolution of rac-5 with chiral ligand $11^{16-18}$ using a boronic acid as a resolving group (Scheme 3). ${ }^{12}$ To our delight, the

Scheme 3. Diastereomeric Resolution of rac-5 with Chiral Ligand 11

reaction of rac-5 with chiral ligand 11 provided the two diastereomers ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ ) , both in $43 \%$ yields, which could be readily separated by column chromatography on silica. ${ }^{19}$ Furthermore, this diastereomeric resolution could be per-
formed on a gram-scale ( 10 mmol scale) without any loss in efficiency. ${ }^{20}$

In order to determine the absolute stereochemistry of the resulting diastereomeric compounds ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ ), the resulting diastereomers were converted into the enantiomers of BIPhOL 3, since the absolute stereochemistry of the parent chiral BIPhOL was reported ${ }^{11}$ (Scheme 4).

Treatment of each diastereomer (either $\mathbf{1 2}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ ) with a basic solution provided the corresponding chiral boronic acid. Subsequent thermal protodeboronation of the resulting boronic acid with acetic acid in 1,4-dioxane, ${ }^{21}$ followed by the removal of a benzyl group via hydrogenolysis provided the parent BIPhOL with excellent enantioselectivity ( $>98 \%$ ee for each enantiomer). Comparison of the optical rotation of the resulting enantiomer with the reported value of the known enantiomer ${ }^{22}$ allowed us to assign the absolute stereochemistry of the resulting enantiomers of the parent BIPhOL; the less polar diastereomer 12 was derived from ( $R$ )-BIPhOL, while the more polar diastereomer $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ was from ( $S$ )-BIPhOL.

Next, we further attempted to prepare chiral BIPhOL derivatives through Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction of the resulting diastereomers with aryl bromides, followed by deprotection of the benzyl group (Scheme 5). When chiral

## Scheme 5. Synthesis of Chiral BIPhOL Derivatives



[^0]Scheme 4. Determination of Absolute Stereochemistry of the Resulting Enantiomers


[^1]boronate 12 was subjected to Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction with phenyl bromide, to our delight, the Suzuki reaction proceeded smoothly to provide the desired (R)-BIPhOL derivative, ( $R$ )-3a, in a good yield and excellent enantiopurity ${ }^{12 a}$ after the removal of the benzyl group. In addition, when the other diastereomer $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ was subjected to Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction with phenyl bromide, the ( $S$ )-BIPhOL derivative, ( $S$ )-3a, was obtained with a similar efficiency. It should be noted that all transformations could be accomplished without any loss of enantioselectivity. Under these conditions, other aryl bromides were subjected to Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction with $\mathbf{1 2}$ and the chiral BIPhOL derivatives, $(R)$ - 3 b and $(R)-3 c$, were obtained in similar efficiencies regardless of electronic natures of the aryl groups. Furthermore, chiral ligand 11 used for the resolution could be readily recovered in quantitative yield by redissolving chiral ligand $\mathbf{1 1}$ with acetone from the concentrated crude product of $\mathbf{1 1}$ from the aqueous layer after the extraction. ${ }^{20}$ The recovered chiral ligand could be directly reapplied to diastereomeric resolution of rac-5 without any loss of efficiency.

## - CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a new synthetic protocol to access chiral $3,3^{\prime}$-disubstituted BIPhOL derivatives via the diastereomeric resolution of racemic BIPhOL boronic acid using a boronic acid moiety as a resolving group followed by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction of the resulting diastereomers with aryl bromides. The desired BIPhOL derivatives bearing aryl groups at the $3,3^{\prime}$-positions were obtained in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. Furthermore, the absolute stereochemistry of the resulting chiral BIPhOL derivatives was determined by comparison of the optical rotation of the resulting BIPhOLs with the reported value. Further development of the synthesis of novel axially chiral biaryl diols and novel asymmetric transformations with these diol compounds are currently underway in our laboratory.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. All reactions were carried out in oven- or flame-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere unless otherwise noted. Except as otherwise indicated, all reactions were magnetically stirred and monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) using precoated silica gel glass plates ( 0.25 mm ) with F254 indicator. Visualization was accomplished by UV light ( 254 nm ), with combination of potassium permanganate and/or phosphomolybdic acid solution as an indicator. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 ( $230-400$ mesh). Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise noted. Commercial grade reagents and solvents were used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra were recorded on $300 / 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 75 / 100 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively. Tetramethylsilane and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ were used as internal standards for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta: 0.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta: 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), respectively. The proton spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift ppm, multiplicity, coupling constant $J$, number of protons. Multiplicities are indicated by $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $m$ (multiplet), and br (broad). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed by using chiral columns ( $0.46 \mathrm{~cm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with 2propanol/hexane as the eluent. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Q-TOF spectrometer using electron spray ionization (ESI) as the ionization method. Optical rotations were measured using a 1 mL cell with 10 mm path length on an automatic polarimeter and reported as follows: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}(c: \mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, in solvent).

Synthesis of 1-Methoxy-3-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (6). To a solution of 3-methoxyphenol $7(12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$
was added $\mathrm{NaH}(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol} ; 60 \mathrm{wt} \%$ powder $)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for additional 1 h . Then, methoxymethyl (MOM) chloride ( $8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 110$ mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. After complete consumption of compound 7, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by short flash column chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ ) to provide the desired product 6 as colorless oil in $92 \%$ yield $(16 \mathrm{~g})$. The spectroscopic data were in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{23}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) ~ \delta$ $7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of rac-BIPhOL (rac-3). To a mixture of compound 6 (7.1 $\mathrm{g}, 42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TMEDA ( $6.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF was slowly added a solution of $n$-BuLi ( 2.5 M in hexane, $19 \mathrm{~mL}, 46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for additional 2 h at the same temperature. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture in one portion at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h . After 12 h , aqueous HCl solution ( $1 \mathrm{~N}, 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture to quench the remaining $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$. The organic phase was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated to provide the desired product 8 along with rac-BIPhOL (rac-3). To a solution of the crude mixture in MeOH was added 3 N HCl solution, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After complete conversion of compound 8 into rac-3, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, concentrated under reduced pressure, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by short flash column chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ ) to afford the desired product rac- 3 as a yellowish green solid in $83 \%$ yield from compound 6 over two steps ( 4.3 g ). The spectroscopic data were in good agreement with the literature. $.^{8-11} \mathrm{mp} 144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\operatorname{ppm}) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 3,3'-Dibromo-6,6'-dimethoxy-(1,1'-biphenyl)-2,2'diol (9). A solution of $N$-bromosuccimide (NBS, $5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a suspension of rac$3(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and was monitored by TLC. After complete consumption of rac-3, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ aqueous solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ ) to provide the desired product 9 in $70 \%$ yield $(4.5 \mathrm{~g})$. The spectroscopic data were in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mp} 186-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2,2'-bis(Benzyloxy)-3,3'-dibromo-6,6'-dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (10). A solution of compound 9 ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CsCO}_{3}(7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 30 $\min$ at room temperature. Benzyl bromide ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the above reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. After complete consumption of compound 9 , the reaction mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by short column chromatography flash on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ ) to yield the desired product $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $95 \%$ yield as a white solid ( 6.1 g). mp 92-94 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, J=2.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ 157.5, 154.3, 136.6, 132.3, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 119.4, 108.3, 108.0, 74.3, 55.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ 604.9941, found 604.9937.

Synthesis of (2,2'-bis(Benzyloxy)-6,6'-dimethoxy-[1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)diboronic Acid (rac-5). To a solution of compound 10 ( 11 g , $19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added slowly a solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $16 \mathrm{~mL}, 39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After complete consumption of compound 10, triisopropyl borate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for additional 6 h . After 6 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ ) to provide the desired product rac5 in $77 \%$ yield as a white solid ( 7.4 g ). Melting point is not available due to decomposition of $\mathbf{1 0}$ during the measurement. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz , acetone $\left.-d_{6}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~m}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz , acetone $\left.-d_{6}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 205.6,163.9,161.6,137.2,136.8,128.7,128.4$, 128.3, 117.4, 107.6, 76.4, 55.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 514.1970, unable to be measured due to decomposition of compound 10 during the analysis.

Synthesis of Compounds 12 and $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$. Chiral ligand 11 ( $9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves were added to a solution of rac-5 ( 5.1 $\mathrm{g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of DMSO and toluene $(1: 20)(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed with azeotropic removal of water using a Dean-stark condenser under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 12 $h$, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=1: 2$ ) to provide the desired products 12 and $12^{\prime}$ in both $43 \%$ yields ( 4.5 g ).

In order to recover unreacting chiral ligand 11 used for the diastereomeric resolution, the aqueous layer was combined and concentrated under reduced procedure. Then, chiral ligand 11 in the resulting crude solid mixture was separated by redissolving it with excess of acetone. The acetone solution was combined and concentrated in vacuo to provide chiral lignad 11 in a pure form without further purification $(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$.

Compound 12. White solid. mp 150-152 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-5.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.83$ (m, 2H), 3.71 (br. s., 6H), 3.29 (d, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.73-2.02 (m, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 168.4,161.4,160.8$, 137.6, 137.0, 136.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 126.9, 118.0, 107.0, 79.8, 74.3, 71.2, 62.0, 56.0, 29.7, 26.2, 21.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Na}$ 1079.4287, found 1079.4299.

Compound $12^{\prime}$. White solid. mp $170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.62$ (m, 4H), 4.22-4.35 (m, 4H), 3.79 (d, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (br. s., $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.03-2.01 (m, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 169.2$, 168.2, 161.0, 159.6, 136.9, 136.7, 136.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 107.1, 80.4, 74.2, 73.7, 72.0, 62.8, 57.0, 55.9, 30.6, 26.7, 22.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Na}$ 1079.4287, found 1079.4299.

Synthesis of (R)-BIPhOL ((R)-3). To a suspension of compound 12 $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.50$ mL ), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the crude product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Acetic acid $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of the crude product in 1,4-dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an open flask. After stirring for 12 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the crude product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic
layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ powder $(0.050 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a solution of crude mixture in EtOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The above mixture was stirred at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere and monitored by TLC. After stirring for 6 h , the reaction mixture was filtered through celite, and washed with dichloromethane. Then, the filtrate was concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ ) to provide the desired product $(R)-3$ in $90 \%$ yield over three steps $(0.022$ g ). The spectroscopic data were in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{8-11}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.25$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AS-H column (hexane:2-propanol $=90: 10$, flow rate $=$ $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ major $)=20.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ minor $)=23.2 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=+153.6\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Synthesis of (S)-BIPhOL ((S)-3). Similar reaction with $12^{\prime}$ provided (S)-BIPhOL. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.25$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (s, 6H). Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AS-H column (hexane:2-propanol =90:10, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ major $)=21.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ minor $)=19.3$ $\min .[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-144.0\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ Lit. $^{11}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-144.0(c$ 0.77, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ).

Synthesis of 3,3'-Disubstituted-6,6'-dimethoxy-2,2'-biphenol. To a solution of compound $12(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.9$ equiv $)$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.050 equiv) in 1,4-dioxane $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aryl bromide ( $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The above mixture was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 10 min , then heated to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . After stirring for 24 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by 1 N HCl aqueous solution, and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was redissolved in EtOH ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ powder was added to the reaction mixture. The above mixture was stirred at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere, and monitored by TLC. After complete consumption of the starting material, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite, and washed with dichloromethane. Then, the filtrate was concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica to provide the desired product. For the recovery of chiral ligand 11, the aqueous layer after Suzuki-Miyaura reaction was combined and concentrated under reduced procedure. Then, the chiral ligand 11 in the resulting crude solid mixture was separated by redissolving it with excess of acetone ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ of $11 \times 3$ times ). The acetone solution was combined and concentrated in vacuo to provide chiral 11 in a pure form without further purification $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}$, 90\%).
(R)-3,3'-Diphenyl BIPhOL ((R)-3a). The product was obtained in $82 \%$ yield as an off-white solid ( 0.065 g ) with $99.1 \%$ ee. mp 134-136 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 157.8,152.0,138.1$, 131.7, 129.5, 128.6, 127.1, 122.4, 107.9, 104.0, 56.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 421.1418$, found 421.1410. Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column (hexane:2-propanol $=90: 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ major $)=19.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ minor $)=29.8 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-17.3$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
(S)-3,3'-Diphenyl BIPhOL ((S)-3a). The reaction of the other diastereomer 12' under the above conditions provided ( $S$ )-3a. The product was obtained in $80 \%$ yield $(0.063 \mathrm{~g})$ as an off-white solid with $98.6 \%$ ee. $\mathrm{mp} 133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.58$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ 157.8, 152.0, 138.1, 131.7, 129.5, 128.6, 127.1, 122.4, 107.9, 104.0, 56.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 421.1418$, found 421.1410. Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column (hexane:2-propanol $=90: 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda$
$=254 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ minor $)=19.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}($ major $)=29.8 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=+17.2$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
(R)-3,3'-Di(4-methoxyphenyl) BIPhOL ((R)-3b). The product was obtained as an off-white solid in $67 \%$ yield $(0.061 \mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{mp} 120-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (d, $J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29$ (s, 2H), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) 158.8, 157.5, 152.0, 131.4, 130.6, 130.4, 122.1, 114.1, 107.9, 103.9, 56.3, 55.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na} 481.1629$, found 481.1623. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=+11.3\left(c\right.$ 0.20, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(R)-3,3'-Di(4-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl) BIPhOL ((R)-3c). The product was obtained in $60 \%(0.065 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as an off-white solid. $\mathrm{mp} 110-$ $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 8.09 (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33$ (br. s., 2 H ), $4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 166.8,158.3$, 152.3, 142.8, 132.1, 129.8, 129.4, 128.9, 121.5, 107.5, 104.2, 61.1, 56.3, 14.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}$ 565.1841, found 565.1832. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=+4.5\left(c \quad 0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
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[^0]:    $a_{\%}$ ee was determined by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel AD-H column.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ A mixture of hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) was used as the eluent. ${ }^{{ }^{6}} \%$ ee was determined by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel AS-H column. ${ }^{c}$ For the reported $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ value of $(S)$-3 see ref 11 .

